

# CITY, STATE 1/2

#### 2022 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

#### I. Non-Discrimination Laws\*\*

STATE COUNTY MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations.

Employment		
Housing		



COUNTY





0	0





#### **SCORE**

Public Accommodations

**FLEX** Single-Occupancy All-Gender **Facilities** 







**0** out of 30







AVAILABLE

## II. Municipality as Employer

By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBTQ+ employees, awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, and taking steps to ensure an inclusive workplace, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBTQ+ employees equally.


Non-Discrimination in City Employment





Inclusive Workplace

SCORE







MUNICIPAL











### III. Municipal Services

This section assesses the efforts of the city to ensure LGBTQ+ residents are included in city services and programs.

Human Rights Commission



LGBTQ+ Liaison in City Executive's Office



MUNICIPAL



AVAILABLE









#### SCORE

FLEX Youth Bullying Prevention Policy for City Services

**FLEX** City Provides Services to LGBTQ+ Youth



FLEX City Provides Services to LGBTQ+ Older Adults

**FLEX** City Provides Services to People Living with HIV or AIDS

**FLEX** City Provides Services to the Transgender Community



COUNTY









# **0** out of 12

















### CITY, STATE 2/2

Fair enforcement of the law includes

thoughtful and respectful way.

responsible reporting of hate crimes and

engaging with the LGBTQ+ community in a

#### 2022 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD



MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

## IV. Law Enforcement

LGBTQ+ Liaison/Task Force in Police Department

Reported 2020 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI





MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE



**SCORE** 

0 out of 22

## V. Leadership on LGBTQ+ Equality

This category measures the city leadership's commitment to fully include the LGBTQ+ community and to advocate for full equality.

Leadership's Public Position on LGBTQ+ Equality

Leadership's Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts



FLEX Openly LGBTQ+ Elected or Appointed Leaders

**FLEX** City Tests Limits of Restrictive State





0 out of 8

TOTAL SCORE 0 + TOTAL FLEX SCORE 0 =

### Final Score 0

**CANNOT EXCEED 100** 

\*\* On June 15, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia that sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination are prohibited under federal sex-based employment protections. Nevertheless, it is imperative that localities continue enacting explicitly LGBTQ+-inclusive comprehensive non-discrimination laws since it will likely take additional litigation for Bostock to be fully applied to all sex-based protections under existing federal civil rights law. Moreover, federal law currently lacks sex-based protections in numerous key areas of life, including public spaces and services. Lastly, there are many invaluable benefits to localizing inclusive protections even when they exist on higher levels of government. For these reasons, the MEI will continue to only award credit in Part I for state, county, or municipal non-discrimination laws that expressly include sexual

PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION -

orientation and gender identity.



- PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY



FLEX PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI.

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city's scorecard, please email mei@hrc.org.