Families Sue to Stop Florida Ban on Essential Medical Care for Transgender Youth

“We have no choice but to fight this ban to protect our daughter’s health.”

- Plaintiff Jane Doe, challenging the policy on behalf of herself and her daughter, Susan

March 23, 2023 (TALLAHASSEE) - Four Florida families filed a lawsuit in federal court today challenging the Boards of Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine’s new rules banning the medically necessary healthcare their transgender children need.

Efforts to ban established medical care for transgender youth have been widely criticized by physicians, medical associations and researchers, including over 300 health care providers in Florida who have experience treating the intense distress that results when a transgender youth can’t live as who they are.

The bans contradict guidelines established through years of clinical research and recommended by every major medical association including the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Medical Association, and the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.

The policy unlawfully strips parents of the right to make informed decisions about their children’s medical treatment and violates the equal protection rights of transgender youth by denying them medically necessary, doctor-recommended healthcare to treat their gender dysphoria.

The plaintiffs are all proceeding under pseudonyms to protect their children’s privacy.
Among the families challenging the ban are Jane Doe and her 11-year-old daughter, Susan. The Does are a military family who moved to Florida when John Doe was stationed there as a Senior Officer in the U.S. Navy.

“Like most parents, my husband and I want nothing more than for our daughter to be healthy, happy, and safe,” said Jane Doe. “Being able to consult with our team of doctors to understand what our daughter is experiencing and make the best, most informed decisions about her care has been critically important for our family. She is a happy, confident child, but this ban takes away our right to provide her with the next step in her recommended treatment when she reaches puberty. The military doctors we work with understand the importance of providing that evidence-based, individualized care. We’re proud to serve our country, but we are being treated differently than other military families because of a decision by politicians in the state where we are stationed. We have no choice but to fight this ban to protect our daughter’s physical and mental health.”

“This ban puts me and other Florida parents in the nightmare position of not being able to help our child when they need us most,” said Brenda Boe, who is challenging the ban on behalf of herself and her fourteen-year-old son, Bennett Boe. “My son has a right to receive appropriate, evidence-based medical care. He was finally getting to a place where he felt hopeful, where being prescribed testosterone was on the horizon and he could see a future for himself in his own body. That has been ripped away by this cruel and discriminatory rule.”

“Working with our healthcare team to understand what my daughter is experiencing and learning there are established, effective treatments that are already helping her to thrive has been an incredible relief,” said Fiona Foe, who is challenging the ban on behalf of herself and her ten-year-old daughter, Freya Foe. “I know everyone may not understand what it means to have a transgender child, but taking away our opportunity to help our daughter live a healthy and happy life is cruel and unfair.”

“Our daughter has been saying she is a girl since she was three – it hasn’t gone away,” said Carla Coe, a plaintiff in the lawsuit along with her nine-year-old daughter, Christina Coe. “Since she started being able to live as a girl she has been so much happier and better adjusted. Having the resources and support to make the best decisions for her wellbeing has been so important for our family. I’m scared this ban will take away the essential medical care she may need when she gets older. We just want to do what’s right for our kid.”
The parent plaintiffs and their children are represented by Southern Legal Counsel, GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders, the National Center for Lesbian Rights, and the Human Rights Campaign. Given the immediate and substantial harm their children face because of this ban, the plaintiffs intend to file a subsequent motion for preliminary injunction asking the federal court to halt the policy while their case against it proceeds. Similar bans on established medical care for transgender youth have been blocked by federal judges in Alabama and Arkansas.

The enactment of Florida’s transgender healthcare ban, which went into effect on March 16, 2023, has faced considerable scrutiny as a politically-motivated process instigated at the urging of the governor and ignoring established medical and scientific consensus on medical care for transgender youth.

In the summer of 2022, Florida Surgeon General Joseph Ladapo and the Department of Health asked the state Boards of Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine to adopt a categorical ban on all treatment of gender dysphoria for people under eighteen years of age. In February and March of 2023, respectively, the Boards adopted formal rules prohibiting all access to safe, effective medical treatments for transgender youth who have received a gender dysphoria diagnosis but who have not yet begun puberty delaying medication or hormone treatments. Surgeon General Ladapo and all members of the Florida Boards of Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine are defendants in the families’ suit challenging the ban.

“This policy came about through a political process with a predetermined conclusion, and it stands in direct contrast to the overwhelming weight of the evidence and science,” said Simone Chriss, Director of Transgender Rights Initiative, Southern Legal Counsel. “There is an unbelievable degree of hypocrisy when a state that holds itself out as being deeply concerned with protecting ‘parents’ rights’ strips parents of their right to ensure their children receive appropriate medical care. I have worked with families and their healthcare providers in Florida for many years. They work tirelessly every day to ensure the best health outcomes for their kids and patients, and they are worried sick about the devastating impacts that this ban will have.”

“The Florida Boards of Medicine chose to ignore the evidence and science in front of them and instead put families in the unthinkable position of not being able to provide essential healthcare for their kids,” said Jennifer Levi, Senior Director of Transgender and Queer Rights, GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders.

“Parents, not the government, should make healthcare decisions for their children,” said Shannon Minter, Legal Director of the National Center for Lesbian Rights. “This
policy crosses a dangerous line and should concern anyone who cares about family privacy or the ability of doctors to do their jobs without undue government interference."

“It’s alarming to see such a concerted, top-down effort to target a small and vulnerable population,” said Sarah Warbelow, Human Rights Campaign Legal Director. “The Florida Surgeon General, Department of Health and Boards of Medicine should be focused on the real and serious public health issues Florida faces, not on putting transgender kids and their families in harm’s way.”

##

**Southern Legal Counsel, Inc. (SLC)** is a Florida statewide not-for-profit public interest law firm that is committed to the ideal of equal justice for all and the attainment of basic human and civil rights. SLC’s Transgender Rights Initiative protects the rights of Florida’s LGBTQ+ community through federal impact litigation, policy advocacy, and individual representation. [www.southernlegal.org](http://www.southernlegal.org)

Through strategic litigation, public policy advocacy, and education, **GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders** works to create a just society free of discrimination based on gender identity and expression, HIV status, and sexual orientation. [www.glad.org](http://www.glad.org)

**The Human Rights Campaign (HRC)** is America’s largest civil rights organization working to achieve lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender equality. HRC envisions a world where LGBTQ+ people are embraced as full members of society at home, at work and in every community. [www.hrc.org](http://www.hrc.org)

**The National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR)** is a national legal organization committed to advancing the human and civil rights of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer community through litigation, public policy advocacy, and public education. Since its founding, NCLR has maintained a longstanding commitment to racial and economic justice and the LGBTQ community’s most vulnerable. [www.nclrights.org](http://www.nclrights.org)

Through strategic litigation, public policy advocacy, and education, **GLBTQ Legal Advocates & Defenders** works to create a just society free of discrimination based on gender identity and expression, HIV status, and sexual orientation. [www.glad.org](http://www.glad.org)

**The Human Rights Campaign (HRC)** is America’s largest civil rights organization working to achieve lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender equality. HRC envisions a world where LGBTQ+ people are embraced as full members of society at home, at work and in every community. [www.hrc.org](http://www.hrc.org)

**The National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR)** is a national legal organization committed to advancing the human and civil rights of the lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer community through litigation, public policy advocacy, and public education. Since its founding, NCLR has maintained a longstanding commitment to racial and economic justice and the LGBTQ community’s most vulnerable. [www.nclrights.org](http://www.nclrights.org)
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
Tallahassee Division

JANE DOE, individually and on behalf of her minor daughter, SUSAN DOE;
BRENDA BOE, individually and on behalf of her minor son BENNETT BOE; CARLA COE, individually and on behalf of her minor daughter CHRISTINA COE; and FIONA FOE, individually and on behalf of her minor daughter FREYA FOE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOSEPH A. LADAPO, in his official capacity as Florida’s Surgeon General of the Florida Department of Health; FLORIDA BOARD OF MEDICINE; SCOT ACKERMAN, NICHOLAS W. ROMANELLO, WAEL BARSOUM, MATTHEW R. BENSON, GREGORY COFFMAN, AMY DERICK, DAVID DIAMOND, PATRICK HUNTER, LUZ MARINA PAGES, ELEONOR PIMENTEL, HECTOR VILA, MICHAEL WASYLIK, ZACHARIAH P. ZACHARIAH, MARIA GARCIA, NICOLE JUSTICE, in their official capacities as members of the Florida Board of Medicine; FLORIDA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC MEDICINE; WATSON DUCATEL, TIFFANY SIZEMORE DI PIETRO, GREGORY WILLIAMS, MONICA M. MORTENSEN, VALERIE JACKSON,
CHRIS CREEGAN, WILLIAM D. KIRSH, in their official capacities as members of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine,

Defendants.

___________________________________/

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This Action is a federal constitutional challenge brought by transgender adolescents and their parents against the bans on medical care for transgender minors adopted by the Florida Boards of Medicine and Osteopathic Medicine (the “transgender medical bans”). These transgender medical bans are effective on March 16, 2023 (Board of Medicine, Rule 64B8-9.019, Fla. Admin. Code) and March 28, 2023 (Board of Osteopathic Medicine, Rule 64B15-14.014, Fla. Admin. Code).

2. The transgender medical bans violate the rights of parents to make medical decisions to ensure the health and wellbeing of their adolescent children. They prohibit parents from seeking and obtaining effective medical treatment in Florida for their adolescent children by prohibiting doctors from providing medications to adolescents in accordance with the standards of care and clinical practice guidelines for treatment of a rare and serious medical condition, gender dysphoria.

---

1 Plaintiffs are filing the requisite Motions for Leave to Proceed Under Pseudonym shortly after filing the Complaint.
3. The transgender medical bans also violate the guarantees of equal protection by banning essential medical treatments needed by the adolescent Plaintiffs because they are transgender.

4. Defendants cannot demonstrate any rational basis, much less an important or compelling one, for the transgender medical bans which prevent transgender adolescents from getting safe and effective medically necessary healthcare.

5. The plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief to enjoin enforcement of the transgender medical bans. Without the relief sought, plaintiffs will suffer real and immediate injury.

PARTIES

I. Transgender Plaintiffs and Their Parents

6. Plaintiff Jane Doe is and has at all relevant times been a resident of St. Johns County, Florida. She is the mother of Plaintiff Susan Doe, an eleven-year-old transgender girl for whom she also appears in this case as next friend. Susan Doe sues pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c) by and through her parent Jane Doe. Plaintiff Jane Doe and Plaintiff Susan Doe seek to proceed in this case under a pseudonym to protect the minor plaintiffs’ right to privacy given that she is a minor and the disclosure of her identity “would reveal matters of a highly sensitive and personal nature, specifically [the minor]’s transgender status and [her]

7. Plaintiff Brenda Boe is and has at all relevant times been a resident of Alachua County, Florida. She is the mother of Plaintiff Bennett Boe, a fourteen-year-old transgender boy for whom she also appears in this case as next friend. Bennett Boe sues pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c) by and through his parent Brenda Boe. Plaintiff Brenda Boe and Plaintiff Bennett Boe seek to proceed in this case under a pseudonym to protect the minor plaintiffs’ right to privacy given that he is a minor and the disclosure of his identity “would reveal matters of a highly sensitive and personal nature, specifically [the minor]’s transgender status and [his] diagnosed medical condition—gender dysphoria.” Foster v. Andersen, No. 18-2552-DDC-KGG, 2019 WL 329548, at *2 (D. Kan. Jan. 25, 2019). See Motion to Proceed Pseudonymously, filed concurrently herewith.

8. Plaintiff Carla Coe is and has at all relevant times been a resident of Duval County, Florida. She is the mother of Plaintiff Christina Coe, a nine-year-old transgender girl for whom she also appears in this case as next friend. Christina Coe sues pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c) by and through her parent Carla Coe. Plaintiff Carla Coe and Plaintiff Christina Coe seek to proceed in this case under a pseudonym to protect the minor plaintiffs’ right to privacy given that

9. Plaintiff Fiona Foe is and has at all relevant times been a resident of Orange County, Florida. She is the mother of Plaintiff Freya Foe, a ten-year-old transgender girl for whom she also appears in this case as next friend. Freya Foe sues pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c) by and through her parent Fiona Foe. Plaintiff Fiona Foe and Plaintiff Freya Foe seek to proceed in this case under a pseudonym to protect the minor plaintiffs’ right to privacy given that she is a minor and the disclosure of her identity “would reveal matters of a highly sensitive and personal nature, specifically [the minor]’s transgender status and [her] diagnosed medical condition—gender dysphoria.” *Foster v. Andersen*, No. 18-2552-DDC-KGG, 2019 WL 329548, at *2 (D. Kan. Jan. 25, 2019). See Motion to Proceed Pseudonymously, filed concurrently herewith.

II. Defendants

10. Defendant Joseph Ladapo is sued in his official capacity as the Surgeon General of the Florida Department of Health, which is responsible for “protect[ing] and promot[ing] the health” of all Floridians. Fla. Stat. § 20.43 (2022). The Surgeon
General is appointed by the Governor and serves at the pleasure of the Governor. Fla. Stat. § 20.43(2) (2022). Defendant Joseph Ladapo, M.D., in his official capacity as Surgeon General of the Florida Department of Health, initiated the process of the promulgation of the transgender medical bans pursuant to the authority granted by Fla. Stat. § 20.43(g) (2022), regarding the regulation of health practitioners. Defendant Joseph Ladapo’s official place of business is located in Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

11. Defendant Scot Ackerman, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

12. Defendant Nicholas W. Romanello, Esq., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

13. Defendant Wael Barsoum, M.D. is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

14. Defendant Matthew R. Benson, M.D. is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

15. Defendant Gregory Coffman, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

16. Defendant Amy Derick, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

17. Defendant David Diamond, M.D. is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.
Defendant Patrick Hunter, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Luz Marina Pages, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Eleonor Pimentel, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Hector Vila M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Michael Wasylik, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Zachariah P. Zachariah, M.D., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Maria Garcia, Esq., is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Nicole Justice is a member of the Florida Board of Medicine.

Defendant Watson Ducatel, D.O., is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.

Defendant Tiffany Sizemore Di Pietro, D.O., is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.
28. Defendant Gregory Williams, D.O., is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.

29. Defendant Monica M. Mortensen, D.O., is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.

30. Defendant Valerie Jackson is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.

31. Defendant Chris Creegan is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.

32. Defendant William D. Kirsh, D.O., is a member of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine.

33. The Florida Board of Medicine is made up of fifteen members who are appointed by the Governor. Fla. Stat. § 458.307(1) (2022). Defendant members of the Florida Board of Medicine, in their official capacities, each separately and independently took actions to promulgate Fla. Admin. Code 64B-9.019 pursuant to their rulemaking authority under Fla. Stat. §§ 458.309 and 458.331(1)(v) (2022). Further, Defendant members of the Florida Board of Medicine, in their official capacities, each has the authority to enforce Fla. Admin. Code 64B-9.019, including taking disciplinary action against any doctor for violating its provisions. Fla. Stat. § 456.072. The Florida Board of Medicine is based and headquartered in, and the official place of business for the Defendant members of the Florida Board of
34. The Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine is made up of seven members who are appointed by the Governor. Fla. Stat. § 459.004(1) (2022). Defendant members of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine, in their official capacities, each separately and independently took actions to promulgate Fla. Admin. Code 64B15-14.014 pursuant to their rulemaking authority under Fla. Stat. §§ 459.005 and 459.015(1)(z) (2022). Further, Defendant members of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine, in their official capacities, each has the authority to enforce Fla. Admin. Code 64B15-14.014, including taking disciplinary action against any doctor for violating its provisions. Fla. Stat. § 456.072. The Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine is based and headquartered in, and the official place of business for the Defendant members of the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine is, Tallahassee, Leon County, Florida.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

35. The plaintiffs seek redress for the deprivation of their rights secured by the United States Constitution. This Action is initiated pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 to enjoin the defendants from enforcing the transgender medical bans and for a declaration that the transgender medical bans violate federal law.

36. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
This Court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants because the defendants are domiciled in Florida and the denial of the plaintiffs’ rights guaranteed by federal law arises out of and relates to the defendants’ official duties in Florida.

Each of the defendants serve and reside in their official capacity on an agency or board for the State of Florida,. Therefore, venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

If enforced, the transgender medical bans would violate the constitutional rights of the plaintiffs in this judicial district. Therefore, venue is also proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

Venue is proper in the Tallahassee Division of the Northern District of Florida under N.D. Fla. Loc. R. 3.1(B) because it is the location of the principal place of business for the defendants and where a substantial portion of the acts or omissions complained of herein occurred.

This Court has authority to enter a declaratory judgment and to provide preliminary and permanent injunctive relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 57 and 65, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and this Court’s inherent equitable powers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

I. Gender Identity and Gender Dysphoria

Gender identity is an innate, internal sense of one’s sex and is an immutable aspect of a person’s identity. It is an essential element of human identity.
and a well-established concept in medicine. Everyone has a gender identity. Most people’s gender identity is consistent with their birth sex. Transgender people, however, have a gender identity that differs from their birth sex. Being made to live inconsistent with a person’s gender identity causes discomfort and distress and can interfere with a person’s ability to function in a productive and healthy way in matters of daily living.

43. Gender dysphoria is the clinical diagnosis for the distress that arises when a transgender person cannot live consistent with their gender identity. To be eligible for a diagnosis of gender dysphoria, a young person must meet the criteria set forth in the American Psychiatric Association’s Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (the “DSM-5”). If left untreated, gender dysphoria can cause anxiety, depression, and self-harm, including suicidality.

44. In fact, 56% of transgender youth reported a previous suicide attempt and 86% of them reported suicidality. See Ashley Austin, Shelley L. Craig, Sandra D. Souza, and Lauren B. McInroy (2022), *Suicidality Among Transgender Youth: Elucidating the Role of Interpersonal Risk Factors*, J. of Interpersonal Violence, Vol. 37 (5-6) NP2696-NP2718.

---

2 Earlier editions of the DSM included a diagnosis referred to as “Gender Identity Disorder.” The DSM-5 noted that Gender Dysphoria “is more descriptive than the previous DSM-IV term gender identity disorder and focuses on dysphoria as the clinical problem, not identity per se. Being diagnosed with gender dysphoria “implies no impairment in judgment, stability, reliability, or general social or vocational capabilities.” Am. Psychiatric Ass’n, *Position Statement on Discrimination Against Transgender & Gender Variant Individuals* (2012).
45. Research has shown that an individual’s gender identity is hard-wired and cannot be changed. In the past, mental health professionals sought to treat gender dysphoria by attempting to change the person’s gender identity to match their birth sex; these efforts were unsuccessful and caused serious harms. Today, the medical profession recognizes that such efforts put transgender minors at risk of profound harm, including dramatically increased rates of suicidality.

46. Gender dysphoria is highly treatable. Healthcare providers who specialize in the treatment of gender dysphoria follow well-established standards of care and clinical practice guidelines that have been adopted by the major medical and mental health associations in the United States including, but not limited to, the American Medical Association, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Association of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists, the Pediatric Endocrine Society, the American Psychiatric Association, the American Psychological Association, and the Endocrine Society.

47. The standards of care for treatment of transgender people, including transgender youth, were initially developed by the World Professional Association for Transgender Health (“WPATH”), an international, multidisciplinary, professional association of medical providers, mental health providers, researchers, and others, with a mission of promoting evidence-based care and research for transgender health, including the treatment of gender dysphoria. WPATH published
the most recent edition of its standards of care for the treatment of gender dysphoria in minors and adults in 2022, Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8. (Coleman E, Radix AE, Bouman WP et al. Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8. Int J Transgend 2022 Sep 6;23 (suppl 1): S1-S259.)


49. The American Medical Association, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American Association of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists, the Pediatric Endocrine Society, the American Psychiatric Association, the American Psychological Association, and other professional medical organizations also follow the WPATH and Endocrine Society standards of care and clinical practice guidelines.

50. The treatment of gender dysphoria is designed to reduce a transgender person’s clinically significant distress by permitting them to live in alignment with their gender identity. Undergoing treatment for gender dysphoria is commonly referred to as “transition,” “gender transition,” or “gender affirming care.” There are
several components to the gender transition process.

51. The precise treatment of gender dysphoria depends on an individualized assessment of a patient’s needs.

52. Social transition involves a transgender person taking measures to live in accordance with their gender identity in all aspects of life. For transgender boys, it means living fully as a boy; for transgender girls, it means living fully as a girl. Social transition can include adopting a name, pronouns, hairstyle, and clothing consistent with that person’s gender identity. The steps a transgender person takes as part of their social transition help align their gender identity with all aspects of everyday life.

53. Gender transition may also involve taking prescribed medications, puberty blockers and hormones, to bring a person’s body into alignment with their gender identity.

54. There are no medications prescribed to transgender children before they have begun puberty.

55. For a transgender adolescent who has begun puberty, puberty-blocking medication prevents the patient from going through the physical developments associated with puberty that exacerbate the distress experienced by the incongruence between the patient’s gender identity and their body. As such, under the WPATH Standards of Care and clinical practice guidelines, puberty-blocking medication may
become medically necessary and appropriate after a transgender adolescent reaches puberty to minimize or prevent the exacerbation of gender dysphoria and the permanent physical changes that puberty would cause. In providing medical treatments to adolescents, pediatric physicians and endocrinologists work in close consultation with qualified mental health professionals experienced in the diagnosis and treatment of gender dysphoria.

56. For older transgender adolescents, hormone therapy may also be medically necessary to bring their body into alignment with their gender identity and further treat the gender dysphoria they may experience without treatment.

57. The treatment for gender dysphoria is highly effective. Longitudinal studies have shown that transgender children with gender dysphoria who receive essential medical care, including puberty blockers and hormones, show levels of mental health and stability consistent with those of non-transgender children. Lily Durwood, et al., Mental Health and Self-Worth in Socially Transitioned Transgender Youth, 56 J. Am. Acad. Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 116 (2017); Kristina Olson, et al., Mental Health of Transgender Children Who are Supported in Their Identities, 137 Pediatrics 1 (2016). In contrast, transgender children with gender dysphoria who do not receive appropriate medical care are at risk of serious harm, including dramatically increased rates of suicidality and serious depression.

II. The Transgender Medical Bans
58. On June 2, 2022, Defendant Joseph A. Ladapo sent a letter to the Florida Board of Medicine and the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine (collectively, the “Boards”) asking the Boards to “establish a standard of care” for the treatment of gender dysphoria, notwithstanding the widely accepted standards of care and clinical practice guidelines established by WPATH and the Endocrine Society.

59. On July 28, 2022, the Florida Department of Health sent the Boards a “Petition to Initiate Rulemaking,” asking the Board, among other things, to adopt a categorical ban on all treatment of gender dysphoria for people under eighteen years of age.

60. On August 5, 2022, the Boards discussed the June 2, 2022 letter from Dr. Ladapo and the July 28, 2022 Petition to Initiate Rulemaking. The Boards voted to accept the Petition to Initiate Rulemaking.

61. On September 1, 2022, the Boards each published a Notice of Development of Rulemaking in the Florida Administrative Register (F.A.R.), proposing “rule development to clarify the practice standards for treatment of gender dysphoria in minors.”

62. On October 14, 2022, the Boards each published a Notice of Rule Workshop, which would take place on October 28, 2022.

63. On October 28, 2022, the Boards held a Joint Workshop regarding the
development of a rule related to “Practice Standards for the Treatment of Gender Dysphoria.” At the conclusion of the meeting, the Boards voted in support of proposed rules that would ban puberty blockers and hormones, with an exception for treatment performed as clinical trials under the auspices of the Institutional Review Board (the “exception”). The proposed rules were as follows:

(1) The following therapies and procedures performed for the treatment of gender dysphoria in minors are prohibited.
   (a) Sex reassignment surgeries, or any other surgical procedures, that alter primary or secondary sexual characteristics.
   (b) Puberty blocking, hormone, and hormone antagonist therapies.

(2) Nonsurgical treatments for the treatment of gender dysphoria in minors may continue to be performed under the auspices of Institutional Review Board (IRB) approved, investigator-initiated clinical trials conducted at any of the Florida medical schools set forth in Section 458.3145(1)(i), Florida Statutes. Such clinical trials must include long term longitudinal assessments of the patients’ physiologic and psychologic outcomes.

(3) Minors being treated with puberty blocking, hormone, or hormone antagonist therapies prior to the effective date of this rule may continue with such therapies.

64. On November 4, 2022, the Florida Board of Medicine voted to remove the exception from its proposed transgender medical ban.

65. On January 9, 2023, the Boards published Notices of Public Hearing in the Florida Administrative Register, regarding a joint hearing of the Boards scheduled to take place on February 10, 2023.

66. On February 10, 2023, during the Public Hearing, the Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine voted to remove the exception from its proposed transgender
medical bans.

67. The Florida Board of Medicine filed the following rule with the Florida Department of State on February 24, 2023, with an effective date of March 16, 2023:

(1) The following therapies and procedures performed for the treatment of gender dysphoria in minors are prohibited.
   (a) Sex reassignment surgeries, or any other surgical procedures, that alter primary or secondary sexual characteristics.
   (b) Puberty blocking, hormone, and hormone antagonist therapies.

(2) Minors being treated with puberty blocking, hormone, or hormone antagonist therapies prior to the effective date of this rule may continue with such therapies.

68. The Florida Board of Osteopathic Medicine filed the following rule with the Florida Department of State on March 8, 2023, with an effective date of March 28, 2023:

(1) The following therapies and procedures performed for the treatment of gender dysphoria in minors are prohibited.
   (a) Sex reassignment surgeries, or any other surgical procedures, that alter primary or secondary sexual characteristics.
   (b) Puberty blocking, hormone, and hormone antagonist therapies.

(2) Minors being treated with puberty blocking, hormone, or hormone antagonist therapies prior to the effective date of this rule may continue with such therapies.

69. The transgender medical bans include a clause that permits minors being treated with puberty blockers or hormones prior to the effective date of the transgender medical bans to continue to receive those treatments.
70. For any transgender minor who is not yet receiving puberty blockers or hormones at the effective date of the transgender medical bans, they will not be able to obtain such treatment from a Florida doctor regardless of medical necessity.

71. The transgender medical bans ignore the established medical and scientific consensus that these treatments are medically necessary, safe, and effective for the treatment of gender dysphoria.

72. The transgender medical bans are in direct conflict with state laws ensuring that parents have the right to make medical decisions for their adolescent children. See Florida Statutes 1014.02 (which provides that “it is a fundamental right of parents to direct the upbringing, education, and care of their minor children.”); Florida Statutes 1014.03 (“The state, any of its political subdivisions, any other governmental entity, or any other institution may not infringe on the fundamental rights of a parent to direct the upbringing, education, health care, and mental health of his or her minor child[.]”) (emphasis added).

III. The Transgender Medical Bans Will Irreparably Harm the Plaintiffs

Jane Doe and her daughter Susan Doe

73. Susan Doe is an eleven-year-old transgender girl who resides with her mother, Jane Doe, her father, and her three siblings in St. Johns County, Florida.

74. From an early age, Susan began telling her parents that she is a girl. She began living fully as a girl, with the support of her family and upon advice of her
pediatrician by the time she started kindergarten.

75. Susan has been diagnosed with gender dysphoria and that diagnosis has been confirmed by many doctors, including her doctor at the Pentagon. Susan has not been prescribed puberty blockers or hormones to treat her gender dysphoria.

76. Susan’s medical providers, including her pediatrician, pediatric endocrinologist, and medical provider on base, continue to monitor her treatment and concluded that it likely will be medically necessary for her to begin puberty blocking medications after she begins puberty, which is imminent. The transgender medical bans, however, will prevent her from obtaining treatment.

Brenda Boe and her son Bennett Boe

77. Bennett Boe is a fourteen-year-old transgender boy who resides in Alachua County, Florida, with his mother, Brenda Boe.

78. Bennett has known that he was not a girl since the third grade. After he began puberty and started experiencing the accompanying physical changes, Bennett grew increasingly distressed by the mismatch between his body and his sense of himself as a boy. Around that time, Bennett experienced debilitating depression, culminating in an incident of self-harm resulting in hospitalization.

79. Bennett’s mother Brenda took Bennett to see medical professionals who diagnosed Bennett with gender dysphoria and recommended treatment, including menstrual suppression medication. With Brenda’s consent, Bennett began
treatment which has considerably alleviated his depression.

80. Even with that treatment, Bennett continues to experience gender dysphoria as a result of continued pubertal development inconsistent with his sense of himself as a boy.

81. Bennett’s doctors believe it may be medically necessary for him to begin hormone therapy after he turns sixteen.

82. Brenda and Bennett fear that the transgender medical medical bans will prevent him from being able to treat his ongoing symptoms of gender dysphoria with hormone therapy.

Carla Coe and her daughter Christina Coe

83. Christina Coe is a nine-year-old transgender girl, and one of three triplets, who resides in Duval County, Florida with her mother, Carla Coe, her father, and her siblings.

84. From an early age, Christina began to say to her parents that she is a girl.

85. At age five Christina began to express distress including suicidal ideation and a desire to harm herself.

86. Since entering the third grade, Christina has been living as a girl in all aspects of her life. She has not expressed a renewed desire to harm herself.

87. Carla and her husband fear that Christina will not be able to get the
medical care she needs after she begins puberty because of the transgender medical bans.

**Fiona Foe and her daughter Freya Foe**

88. Freya Foe is a ten-year-old transgender girl who resides with her mother, Fiona Foe, her father, her grandmother, and her two siblings in Orange County, Florida.

89. As soon as she could walk and talk, Freya was very feminine in her self expression, including her choices of clothing and toys.

90. As she grew older, Freya began to express distress about being seen as a boy. Fiona and her husband took Freya to see a psychologist who diagnosed her with gender dysphoria.

91. Shortly before her tenth birthday, Freya’s doctor examined her and determined that she had reached Tanner Stage 2, meaning puberty is in progress. In the months preceding this examination, Freya had begun to express distress about the onset of puberty and her performance in school began to decline.

92. In December 2022, Freya’s doctors determined that puberty blocking medication was medically necessary for the treatment of her gender dysphoria. With the consent of her parents, Freya began puberty blocking medication. Since then, Freya’s overall wellbeing and performance in school have improved.

93. The transgender medical bans will prevent Freya’s medical providers
from prescribing hormones to allow her to go through female puberty, medication she may need as her peers continue to develop through puberty.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I
Deprivation of Substantive Due Process
Parent Plaintiffs Against Defendants in Their Official Capacities
Violation of Parent Plaintiffs’ Right to Direct the Upbringing of Their Adolescent Children
U.S. Const. Amend. XIV

94. The plaintiffs incorporate all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.

95. The parent plaintiffs bring this Count against all the defendants.

96. The Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution protects the rights of parents to make decisions “concerning the care, custody, and control of their children.” Troxel v. Granville, 530 U.S. 57, 66 (2000) (plurality). That fundamental right includes the liberty to make medical decisions for their minor adolescent children, including the right to obtain medical treatments that are recognized to be safe, effective, and medically necessary to protect their adolescent children’s health and well-being.

97. The transgender medical bans violate this fundamental right by preventing the parent plaintiffs from obtaining medically necessary care for their minor adolescent children.

98. By intruding upon parents’ fundamental right to direct the upbringing
of their adolescent children, the transgender medical bans are subject to strict scrutiny.

99. The defendants have no compelling justification for preventing parents from ensuring their adolescent children can receive essential medical care. The transgender medical bans do not advance any legitimate interest, much less a compelling one.

**COUNT II**

Deprivation of Equal Protection

All Plaintiffs Against Defendants in Their Official Capacities

U.S. Const. Amend. XIV

100. The plaintiffs incorporate all preceding paragraphs of the Complaint as if set forth fully herein.

101. All the plaintiffs bring this Count against all the defendants.

102. The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, enforceable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, provides that no state shall “deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.” U.S. Const. Amend. XIV, § 1.

103. The transgender medical bans single out transgender minors and prohibit them from obtaining medically necessary treatment based on their sex and transgender status.

104. Under the Equal Protection Clause, government classifications based on sex are subject to heightened scrutiny and are presumptively unconstitutional.
105. Transgender-based government classifications are subject to heightened scrutiny because they are sex-based classifications.

106. Because transgender people have obvious, immutable, and distinguishing characteristics, including having a gender identity that is different from their birth sex, they comprise a discrete group. This defining characteristic bears no relation to a transgender person’s ability to contribute to society. Nevertheless, transgender people have faced historical discrimination and have been unable to secure equality through the political process.

107. As such, transgender classifications are subject to strict scrutiny.

108. The transgender medical bans do nothing to protect the health or well-being of minors. To the contrary, the transgender medical bans undermine the health and well-being of transgender minors by denying them essential medical care.

109. The transgender medical bans are not narrowly tailored to further a compelling government interest, substantially related to any important governmental interest, or even rationally related to a governmental interest. Accordingly, the transgender medical bans violate the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

**RELIEF REQUESTED**

WHEREFORE, the plaintiffs request that this Court:

(1) issue a judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202, declaring that the
transgender medical bans violate the United States Constitution for the reasons and on the Counts set forth above;

(2) temporarily, preliminarily, and permanently enjoin the defendants and their officers, employees, servants, agents, appointees, or successors from enforcing the transgender medical bans;

(3) declare that the transgender medical bans violate the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;

(4) award the plaintiffs their costs and attorneys’ fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and other applicable laws; and

(5) grant such other relief as the Court finds just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of March, 2023.