# Myrtle Beach, South Carolina

## Non-Discrimination Laws**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Municipal</th>
<th>Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Accommodations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Score</strong></td>
<td>0 out of 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FLEX**
- Single-Occupancy All-Gender Facilities: +0
- Protects Youth from Conversion Therapy: +0

**Score**
- City Employee Domestic Partner Benefits: +0
- City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance: +0

**Score**
- Non-Discrimination in City Employment: +1
- Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits: +0
- City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance: +0
- Inclusive Workplace: +0

**Score**
- Transgender Community
- with HIV or AIDS
- Older Adults
- People Experiencing Homelessness
- All-Gender Facilities

**Score**
- Youth Bullying Prevention Policy for City Services: +0
- City Provides Services to LGBT+ Youth: +0
- City Provides Services to LGBT+ People Experiencing Homelessness: +0
- City Provides Services to LGBT+ Older Adults: +0
- City Provides Services to People Living with HIV or AIDS: +0
- City Provides Services to the Transgender Community: +0

**Final Score**
- 54 out of 100

---

## Law Enforcement

**LGBTQ+ Liaison/Task Force in Police Department**

**Reported 2019 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI**

**Score**
- 22 out of 22

## Leadership on LGBTQ+ Equality

**Leadership’s Public Position on LGBTQ+ Equality**

**Leadership’s Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts**

**Score**
- 7 out of 8

## Municipal Services

**Human Rights Commission**

**NDO Enforcement by Human Rights Commission**

**LGBTQ+ Liaison in City Executive’s Office**

**Score**
- 5 out of 12

---

**On June 15, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia that sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination are prohibited under federal sex-based employment protections. Nevertheless, it is imperative that localities continue enacting explicitly LGBTQ+-inclusive comprehensive non-discrimination laws since it will likely take additional litigation for Bostock to be fully applied to all sex-based protections under existing federal civil rights law. Moreover, federal law currently lacks sex-based protections in numerous key areas of life, including public spaces and services. Lastly, there are many invaluable benefits to localizing inclusive protections even when they exist on higher levels of government. For these reasons, the MEI will continue to only award credit in Part I for state, county, or municipal non-discrimination laws that expressly include sexual orientation and gender identity.**

---

**For More Information About City Selection, Criteria or the MEI Scoring System, Please Visit Hrc.org/mei.**

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city’s scorecard, please email mail@hrc.org.