### I. Non-Discrimination Laws**

This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Public Accommodations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE** 20 out of 30

**FLEX**
- Single-Occupancy All-Gender Facilities: +0
- Protects Youth from Conversion Therapy: +0

**III. Municipal Services**

This section assesses the efforts of the city to ensure LGBTQ+ residents are included in city services and programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Rights Commission</th>
<th>NDO Enforcement by Human Rights Commission</th>
<th>LGBTQ+ Liaison in City Executive’s Office</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE** 0 out of 12

**FLEX**
- Youth Bullying Prevention Policy for City Services: -
- City Provides Services to LGBTQ+ Youth: +0
- City Provides Services to LGBTQ+ People Experiencing Homelessness: +0
- City Provides Services to LGBTQ+ Older Adults: +0
- City Provides Services to People Living with HIV or AIDS: +0
- City Provides Services to the Transgender Community: +0

**TOTAL FLEX SCORE 0 = Final Score 47**

---

**On June 15, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia that sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination are prohibited under federal sex-based employment protections. Nevertheless, it is imperative that localities continue enacting explicitly LGBTQ+-inclusive comprehensive non-discrimination laws since it will likely take additional litigation for Bostock to be fully applied to all sex-based protections under existing federal civil rights law. Moreover, federal law currently lacks sex-based protections in numerous key areas of life, including public spaces and services. Lastly, there are many invaluable benefits to localizing inclusive protections even when they exist on higher levels of government.**

---

**MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE**

**IV. Law Enforcement**

Fair enforcement of the law includes responsible reporting of hate crimes and engaging with the LGBTQ+ community in a thoughtful and respectful way.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LGBT+ Liaison/Task Force in Police Department</th>
<th>Reported 2020 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE** 12 out of 22

**FLEX**
- Openly LGBT+ Elected or Appointed Leaders: +0
- City Tests Limits of Restrictive State Law: +0

---

**V. Leadership on LGBTQ+ Equality**

This category measures the city leadership’s commitment to fully include the LGBTQ+ community and to advocate for full equality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leadership’s Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts</th>
<th>Leadership’s Public Position on LGBTQ+ Equality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE** 1 out of 8

**FLEX**
- City Employee Domestic Partner Benefits: +0
- City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance: +0
- Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits: +0
- Non-Discrimination in City Employment: +0

---

**Final Score 47**

CANNOT EXCEED 100

**PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION**

**PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY**

**FLEX PTS for criteria not accessible to all cities at this time.**

**FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI.**

All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city’s scorecard, please email mail@hrc.org.