I. Non-Discrimination Laws**

This category evaluates whether discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity is prohibited by the city, county, or state in areas of employment, housing, and public accommodations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Housing</th>
<th>Public Accommodations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** FLEX FLEX FLEX

** SCORE 30 out of 30

** By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBTQ+ employees, awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, and taking steps to ensure an inclusive workplace, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBTQ+ employees equally.

II. Municipality as Employer

Non-Discrimination in City Employment

Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits

City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance

Inclusive Workplace

** FLEX FLEX FLEX

** SCORE 20 out of 28

III. Municipal Services

Human Rights Commission

NDO Enforcement by Human Rights Commission

LGBTQ+ Liaison in City Executive’s Office

** FLEX FLEX FLEX

** SCORE 7 out of 12

IV. Law Enforcement

Fair enforcement of the law includes responsible reporting of hate crimes and engaging with the LGBTQ+ community in a thoughtful and respectful way.

LGBTQ+ Liaison/Task Force in Police Department

Reported 2020 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI

** MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

** SCORE 12 out of 22

V. Leadership on LGBTQ+ Equality

This category measures the city leadership’s commitment to fully include the LGBTQ+ community and to advocate for full equality.

Leadership’s Public Position on LGBTQ+ Equality

Leadership’s Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts

** MUNICIPAL AVAILABLE

** SCORE 5 out of 8

** On June 15, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia that sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination are prohibited under federal sex-based employment protections. Nevertheless, it is imperative that localities continue enacting explicitly LGBTQ+-inclusive comprehensive non-discrimination laws since it will likely take additional litigation for Bostock to be fully applied to all sex-based protections under existing federal civil rights law. Moreover, federal law currently lacks sex-based protections in numerous key areas of life, including public spaces and services. Lastly, there are many invaluable benefits to localizing inclusive protections even when they exist on higher levels of government. For these reasons, the MEI will continue to only award credit in Part I for state, county, or municipal non-discrimination laws that expressly include sexual orientation and gender identity.

** PTS FOR SEXUAL ORIENTATION PTS FOR GENDER IDENTITY FLEX PTS FOR CRITERIA NOT ACCESSIBLE TO ALL CITIES AT THIS TIME

** FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT CITY SELECTION, CRITERIA OR THE MEI SCORING SYSTEM, PLEASE VISIT HRC.ORG/MEI

** All cities rated were provided their scorecard in advance of publication and given the opportunity to submit revisions. For feedback regarding a particular city’s scorecard, please email mail@hrc.org.

** PEMBROKE PINES, FLORIDA 2022 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

PEMBROKE PINES, FLORIDA 2022 MUNICIPAL EQUALITY INDEX SCORECARD

TOTAL FLEX SCORE 3 + FINAL SCORE 77