I. Non-Discrimination Laws**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>MUNICIPAL</th>
<th>AVAILABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Accommodations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SCORE 28 out of 30**

II. Municipality as Employer

- By offering equivalent benefits and protections to LGBT+ employees, awarding contracts to fair-minded businesses, and taking steps to ensure an inclusive workplace, municipalities commit themselves to treating LGBT+ employees equally.

- Non-Discrimination in City Employment
- Transgender-Inclusive Healthcare Benefits
- City Contractor Non-Discrimination Ordinance
- Inclusive Workplace

**SCORE 23 out of 28**

III. Municipal Services

- Human Rights Commission
- NDO Enforcement by Human Rights Commission
- LGBT+ Liaison in City Executive’s Office

**SCORE 10 out of 12**

IV. Law Enforcement

- Fair enforcement of the law includes responsible reporting of hate crimes and engaging with the LGBT+ community in a thoughtful and respectful way.

- LGBT+ Liaison/Task Force in Police Department
- Reported 2020 Hate Crimes Statistics to the FBI

**SCORE 22 out of 22**

V. Leadership on LGBT+ Equality

- This category measures the city leadership’s commitment to fully include the LGBT+ community and to advocate for full equality.

- Leadership’s Public Position on LGBT+ Equality
- Leadership’s Pro-Equality Legislative or Policy Efforts

**SCORE 6 out of 8**

**Final Score 96**

**On June 15, 2020, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled in Bostock v. Clayton County, Georgia that sexual orientation and gender identity discrimination are prohibited under federal sex-based employment protections. Nevertheless, it is imperative that localities continue enacting explicitly LGBT+-inclusive comprehensive non-discrimination laws since it will likely take additional litigation for Bostock to be fully applied to all sex-based protections under existing federal civil rights law. Moreover, federal law currently lacks sex-based protections in numerous key areas of life, including public spaces and services. Lastly, there are many invaluable benefits to localizing inclusive protections even when they exist on higher levels of government.**