HIV remains a major public health crisis in the United States. HIV, which stands for human immunodeficiency virus, is a virus spread through certain bodily fluids that attacks the body’s immune system. If left untreated, HIV can make it difficult for the body to fight off infections and diseases and can lead to acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). Thanks to modern medical advancements, people living with HIV who are on treatment can live long, healthy lives and pose no risk of sexual transmission to others.

According to the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), there are over 1.1 million Americans currently living with HIV and almost 40,000 new infections every year.\(^1\) While HIV affects Americans from all walks of life, the virus continues to disproportionately impact members of the LGBTQ community. Almost two-thirds of new HIV infections are among gay and bisexual men.\(^2\) Additionally, according to a 2015 survey of 28,000 transgender adults, transgender women are living with HIV at over eleven times the rate of the general population.\(^3\) HIV also disproportionately affects racial minorities. In 2017, Black gay and bisexual men accounted for the largest number of HIV diagnoses,\(^4\) and the 2015 survey found that one in five Black transgender women are living with HIV.\(^5\) These sobering statistics demonstrate the need for a comprehensive strategy to end this epidemic that includes significantly increasing access to highly effective prevention methods like PrEP.

### What is PrEP?

PrEP, or pre-exposure prophylaxis, is a safe and effective way to prevent the transmission of HIV. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved the use of two medicines, emtricitabine and tenofovir (TDF/FTC, brand name Truvada), to prevent HIV in 2012.\(^6\) The CDC notes that “PrEP reduces the risk of getting HIV from sex by about 99% when taken daily.”\(^7\) Despite years of proven efficacy, at-risk individuals continue to face unnecessary barriers to PrEP access, including a lack of education and awareness on the part of individuals who would benefit from PrEP as well as health care providers, a lack of health care coverage, and prohibitive associated costs. According to the CDC, over 1.2 million Americans are at “substantial risk” of contracting HIV and are eligible for PrEP.\(^8\) A 2018 study of PrEP use distribution found that no more than 117,000 people—less than 10% of those at substantial risk—were taking PrEP.\(^9\)
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CANDIDATE AND PROVIDER AWARENESS

Key populations impacted by the HIV epidemic are quickly learning about PrEP and are willing to use it. Awareness of PrEP among gay and bisexual men in 20 urban areas across the United States increased significantly—from 61% to 90%—between 2014 and 2017.\(^{10}\) PrEP use among gay and bisexual men also increased significantly during this period, from 5.7% to 35.1%.\(^{11}\) Despite these welcome increases in PrEP use, inequities persist. For example, while 42% of white gay and bisexual men reported using PrEP in 2017, only 26% and 30% of their Black and Latino peers indicated using it, respectively.\(^{12}\) Addressing the numerous barriers that create these disparities (discussed below) should be an integral component of local efforts to increase PrEP awareness.

Although knowledge of PrEP among primary care providers continues to increase, there is still much room for improvement. Infectious disease specialist practices, local health department specialty clinics, and community health centers with a history of providing HIV care have taken the lead in prescribing PrEP to at-risk individuals. However, to achieve a population-level impact, more primary care providers need to be aware of PrEP and prescribe it to individuals at risk of acquiring HIV. A dearth of PrEP-competent providers continues to be a significant challenge in PrEP candidates accessing the medication, particularly in Southern states and rural areas across the country.\(^{13}\) Primary care providers should routinize an evidence-informed protocol to identify which of their patients would benefit from PrEP and offer it as the standard of care.

COSTS AND FUNDING

Costs related to health insurance, PrEP-related doctor visits and lab tests, and restrictions on the use of certain HIV-specific federal funds also impede the goal of making PrEP accessible to everyone who would benefit from it. These expenses also affect user persistence—the length of time that a PrEP user remains adherent to the regimen and therefore remains protected from HIV.

The high price of PrEP medication and related medical expenses make PrEP cost prohibitive for most people who do not have health insurance or access to PrEP assistance programs. Studies have shown that gay and bisexual men who have health care coverage are twice as likely to have used PrEP as their uninsured peers.\(^{14}\) Having health care coverage also has an impact on PrEP persistence. A study conducted at a public health clinic in Fulton County, Georgia showed that uninsured clients were almost three times as likely
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to have stopped using PrEP within six months after starting to take it.\textsuperscript{15}

Even for those with health insurance, costs are often still a barrier to getting and staying on PrEP. Although most health insurance plans cover the medication and lab tests for PrEP, patients report challenges in affording related out-of-pocket costs and in overcoming burdensome insurance restrictions like prior authorization requirements. In most cases, assistance programs that are currently available through the drug manufacturer or private foundations can cover all out-of-pocket costs for the medication for patients. However, the complexity of the patchwork of assistance programs that patients in need of financial assistance must navigate may itself become a barrier for both providers and patients. Fortunately, starting in 2021, PrEP should not incur out-of-pocket costs for insured individuals given the recent designation of PrEP as a grade “A” preventive service by the US Preventive Services Task Force. Under the Affordable Care Act, this “A” rating requires Medicaid programs to provide it and private insurers to cover PrEP with no cost to the patient.

Cities and counties also face gaps in public health funding for PrEP medication and related costs. Currently, statutory language and interpretive guidance require funds granted under the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program (RWHAP) to be used only for the care and treatment of people diagnosed with HIV, precluding the use of RWHAP funds for PrEP medication and PrEP-related medical services like physician visits and laboratory costs. Importantly, RWHAP programs across the country have been able to weave together multiple sources of funding and clinical expertise across HIV prevention and care programs to provide the same level of care for PrEP and RWHAP patients. Cities and counties have also leveraged RWHAP activities such as outreach, risk reduction counseling, targeted testing, and linkage to care to identify PrEP candidates and refer them to a PrEP program. Moreover, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services recently proposed the \textit{Ending the HIV Epidemic: A Plan for America} initiative that seeks to end the HIV epidemic in the United States within a decade. This plan has a very strong focus on the 48 counties and nine other jurisdictions that account for 50 percent of new HIV infections nationally.\textsuperscript{16} New federal funds have been requested for these cities and counties to expand PrEP access as part of this effort. Furthermore, some states have established PrEP assistance programs that city health departments can leverage as part of a comprehensive local strategy to increase PrEP access for at-risk residents.

\textbf{STIGMA AND LACK OF CULTURALLY COMPETENT CARE}

Finally, some at-risk individuals may avoid taking PrEP due to HIV or PrEP-related stigma and concerns about receiving culturally competent care. To combat negative stereotypes that could prevent at-risk communities from discussing PrEP with their health care provider, cities should seek to counter negative stereotypes through positive education campaigns targeting potential PrEP users and their communities. What's more, cities should ensure that local health department officials and providers who receive city funding are trained on how to screen for PrEP eligibility and facilitate PrEP persistence in a culturally responsive way that does not further PrEP-related stigma.
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Municipalities Making A Difference

Municipalities across the country are leading the way on initiatives and policies designed to increase PrEP access and protect at-risk communities.

**CHICAGO AND NEW YORK CITY: COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT APPROACHES TO INFORM PREP IMPLEMENTATION**

The Chicago Department of Public Health and the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene have both convened working groups of clinical providers and community representatives to inform what services are needed and how to provide them in a culturally responsive manner. By bringing together a wide array of stakeholders and developing a joint plan, the groups have enabled the health departments to identify gaps in PrEP coverage across each city. Through these PrEP working groups, the health departments have been able to identify and troubleshoot providers’ and PrEP users’ challenges as they emerge. The group in New York City, for example, prompted providers from across the City to take leadership in collaborating and coordinating city-wide activities.17

**BALTIMORE, CHICAGO, LOS ANGELES, AND NEW YORK CITY: DEVELOPING COMMUNITY-INFORMED SOCIAL MARKETING CAMPAIGNS**

More and more cities across the country are developing social marketing and educational campaigns to promote PrEP. Baltimore,18 Chicago,19 Los Angeles,20 and New York City21 stand out with campaigns that elevate individuals within their communities and counteract stereotypes that stigmatize people impacted by HIV. These four health departments made a concerted effort to understand the underlying myths about PrEP. As part of their processes, each city researched their residents’ attitudes, beliefs, and motivating factors related to PrEP use and crafted messages that avoid using fear and guilt while celebrating their city’s diversity.
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